

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

s:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	HAMAN HERIO GOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,643	09/15/2003	Kenneth K. Smith	200207341-1	9394
22879 7.	590 01/25/2005	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			LUU, PHO M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				THE EXTORED
roki collii	NS, CO 80327-2400		2824	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/662,643	SMITH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pho M Luu	2824			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	-			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
	closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are objected to.	or all and are many fundament				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	_ _	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2824

DETAILED ACTION

Reply to Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-16 filed 17 November 2004 is acknowledged. The changes and remarks disclosed therein were considered.
- 2. Claims 17-39 have been canceled.
- 3. Claims 1-16 are pending in the application.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 2824

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses the phrase "is disclosed. In some embodiments" in lines 1-2, which is implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartmann. (US. 2002/0018360).

Regarding claim 1 and 12-13, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 discloses a method of determining the value of a memory element (memory cell array 1, Figure 1) within a plurality of memory elements (MC, Figure 1) comprising:

selecting a column (WL2, Figure 4) of interest containing a desired memory element (MC10-MC12, Figure 4);

disabling the desired memory element (memory cell MC10 in Figure 4, the WL2 connected to a current source Q drive a current I in the WL2, the MC11-MC12 are disconnected) to measuring a first current provided to the column of interest (the data signal is written to the memory cell MC10 only, see column 2, paragraph 0028, lines 12-14) and adjusting measurement circuitry to compensate for skew introduced by undersired memory element (see column 2, paragraph 0028, lines 1-19);

Art Unit: 2824

enabling the desired memory element (MC10-MC12 are written to different extent by the current source Q with the locally different current) and measuring a second current provided to the column of interest (see column 2, paragraph 0029 through column 3, paragraph 0030, lines 1-8).

With respected to claim 2, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that the memory element comprises magnetic memory elements (see column 1, paragraph 0007, lines 5-8).

With respected to claim 3, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that the memory element further comprise magneto resistive memory element (MRAM in Figure 1 is magnetoresistive, see column 2, paragraph 0024, lines 4-7).

With respected to claim 4, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that the selection a column (WLm, WL2-WL0 in Figure 1) of interest includes coupling voltage to various column (inherence, the supply voltage apply through the circuit, see column 2, paragraph 2, lines 1-7).

With respected to claim 5, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that disabling the desired memory element includes coupling the desired memory to a high impedance state (see column 1, paragraph 0005, lines 6-8).

With respected to claim 6, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that disabling the desired memory element includes coupling the desired memory element to a known voltage (inherence, the voltage apply in circuit such as well known voltage).

Art Unit: 2824

With respected to claim 7-10, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that the current is measured using read circuit coupled to the column of interest (see column 2, paragraph 0026).

With respected to claim 11, Hartmann in Figures 1-5 disclosed that enabling includes coupled the desired memory element to ground (inherence, the MC10-MC12, each of element connected to ground in circuit).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 14, the prior art of record do not disclose or suggest a digital value of the memory elements is indicated by the peak value of the derivative.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Baker (US 2004/0032760) disclosed a method of memory element including a first and second current during a first sensing time and subtracted from a third current during a second sensing time.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/662,643

Art Unit: 2824

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11.

Examiner should be directed to Pho M. Luu whose telephone number is

571.272.1876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM – 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

Supervisor, Richard Elms, can be reached on 571.272.1869. The official fax number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306 for all

official communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PML

18 January 2005

- While you